App. No. 10/009126 Office Action Dated January 10, 2005 Amd. Dated April 11, 2005

Amendments to the Drawings

The attached drawing sheet includes changes to Figures 1 and 2. In particular, legends have been added to Figures 1 and 2.

Attachment: Replacement Sheets

App. No. 10/009126 Office Action Dated January 10, 2005 Amd. Dated April 11, 2005

REMARKS

Applicant would like to thank the Examiner for telephone interview conducted on January 4, 2005 with Rob Kalinsky to discuss claims 1-15 in view of the Prior Art. An Examiners Amendment as suggested by the Examiner was also discussed, in particular amending claim 1 to incorporate limitations recited in dependent claims 2-6.

Reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the above amendments and following remarks. Claim 1 has been amended incorporating limitations recited in canceled claims 2-3 and 5-6, amendments are also supported, for example, at page 10, line 33 to page 11, line 1. Claims 2-15 have been canceled. New claim 16 incorporates limitations recited in claim 1 and canceled claims 2-6. No new matter has been added. Claims 1 and 16 are pending.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.84(o) which requires legends on drawings. Figures 1 and 2 have been amended to incorporate legends. No new matter has been added.

Claim rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Webber et al. (WO 98/18001) in view of Uto et al. (U.S. 5,886,625). Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection for at least the following reasons.

The rejection is rendered moot with regard to claims 2-15, as they have been canceled.

Claim 1 is directed to a process for anticipating a risk of spontaneous ignition and explosion of an explosive atmosphere in an environment. The environment comprises a group consisting of a grain silo, a center for storing coal dust, industrial dusts, animal or plant meals or chemical fertilizers or ammonium nitrates, driftways, pipe lines and storage tanks. The process measures a temperature of a mixture and any change over time from a time of creation of the

App. No. 10/009126
Office Action Dated January 10, 2005
Amd. Dated April 11, 2005

atmosphere and determines a critical moment of spontaneous ignition and/or explosion of the mixture and uses a means for preventing spontaneous ignition and explosion.

Webber and Uto teach a confined environment as a fuel tank in a vehicle. Neither

Webber nor Uto, alone or in combination, teach or suggest a process for anticipating a risk of
spontaneous ignition and explosion of an explosive atmosphere in an environment by measuring
a temperature of a mixture and any change over time from a time of creation of the atmosphere,
determining a critical moment of spontaneous ignition and/or explosion of the mixture and using
a means for preventing spontaneous ignition and explosion as required by claim 1. Withdrawal
of the rejection is respectfully requested.

In view of the above, favorable reconsideration in the form of a notice of allowance is requested. Any questions or concerns regarding this communication can be directed to the undersigned attorney, John J. Gresens, Reg. No. 33,112, at (612)371.5265.

Respectfully submitted,

MERCHANT & GOULD P.C. P.O. Box 2903

Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-0903

(612) 332-5300

Dated: April 11, 2005

23552

JJG:smm